

Name _____



Articles of Confederation vs. Constitution: Understanding the Differences

Once upon a time in the land of America, two important documents played a significant role in shaping the nation's government: the Articles of Confederation and the United States Constitution. These documents had some similarities, but they also had striking differences that would determine how the young country would be governed.

The Articles of Confederation, adopted in 1777, were the first constitution of the United States. They were created during a time when the Founding Fathers were cautious about establishing a strong central government. Let's explore some of the key differences between the Articles of Confederation and the United States Constitution.

Central Government Authority

One of the most significant differences between the Articles of Confederation and the Constitution was the authority of the central government. Under the Articles, the central government was intentionally weak. It had limited powers and relied on voluntary contributions from the states for funding. The Constitution, on the other hand, established a much stronger central government with more authority and the power to tax citizens.

Representation and Voting

In the Continental Congress under the Articles of Confederation, each state had one vote, regardless of its size or population. This equal representation was a deliberate choice to prevent larger states from dominating smaller ones. In contrast, the Constitution introduced a bicameral legislature with the House of Representatives, where representation was based on a state's population, and the Senate, where each state had equal representation with two senators.

Amending the Document

The process for amending the Articles of Confederation was extremely challenging. It required unanimous approval from all thirteen states, which proved nearly impossible to achieve. As a result, the Articles could not be easily adapted to changing circumstances. The Constitution, however, established a more flexible process for amendments. It required approval from two-thirds of both

Name _____

houses of Congress or from a constitutional convention called for by two-thirds of the states.

Executive Branch

The Articles of Confederation did not provide for an executive branch like the presidency we have today. Instead, there was a president of the Continental Congress, but this position had limited powers and no executive authority. The Constitution, on the other hand, created a powerful executive branch with the presidency, giving the President the authority to enforce laws and make important decisions.

Judicial Branch

The Articles of Confederation did not establish a federal court system. Instead, disputes between states or citizens of different states were left to state courts. The Constitution, however, created a federal judicial branch, including the Supreme Court, to interpret and apply federal laws and resolve disputes.

Power to Tax

One of the major challenges faced under the Articles of Confederation was the inability of the central government to levy taxes. It depended on voluntary contributions from the states, which often fell short of funding needs. The Constitution granted the federal government the authority to tax citizens, allowing it to generate its own revenue.

In summary, while the Articles of Confederation served as the first attempt at creating a system of government for the United States, they had several critical limitations. The United States Constitution, which followed, addressed many of these issues by establishing a stronger central government, introducing a more flexible amendment process, and creating executive and judicial branches. Together, these two documents represent the evolution of American governance and the quest for a more perfect union.